

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                 | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/888,721                                      | 06/25/2001     | James S. Huston      | P 23,611-A USA                  | 2094             |  |
| 7:  | 590 09/10/2002 |                      |                                 |                  |  |
| Patrick J. Kelly                                |                |                      | EXAMINER                        |                  |  |
| Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP<br>2600 Aramark Tower |                |                      | LI, QIAN J                      |                  |  |
| 1101 Market Street<br>Philadelphia, PA 19107    |                |                      | ART UNIT                        | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| rimaucipina, 1 A 17107                          |                |                      | 1632<br>DATE MAILED: 09/10/2002 | 8                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons   | 09/888,721   | HUSTON ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Janice Li  | 1632   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cov rsh t with the c                               | orr spondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi   | s action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application  | ,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or e   | election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep  | ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar                             | miner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  | i e  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | aminer.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:<br>—   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   |  | · ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(                              | e) (to a provisional application).                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal i  | / (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>Patent Application (PTO-152)<br>ion . |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Sequence Compliance

The specification contains sequence disclosures (for example, page 14, line 27, page 16, lines 16-18; page 32, line 15; page 40, line 18; page 32, line 15; tables 1, and figures 3-14) that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2) but are not present in the Sequence Listing and/or identified in the specification by sequence identifier numbers. Applicant must provide sequence identifiers, in the case that these sequences are not included in the original sequence submission, a paper copy and a computer readable copy of the Sequence Listing and a statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 CFR 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d). A full response to this Office Action must include a complete response to the requirement for a Sequence Listing.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S. C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-29 are drawn to a gene-delivery compound comprising (A) a single-chain binding polypeptide, and (B) a nucleic acid-binding moiety, which is coupled to said polypeptide by at least one cysteinyl residue. Classified in class 424, subclass 134.1.

Art Unit: 1632

- II. Claims 30-52 are drawn to a gene-delivery compound comprising (A) a single-chain binding polypeptide, and (B) a lipid-associating moiety, which is coupled to said polypeptide by at least one cysteinyl residue. Classified in class 424, subclass 181.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons.

Inventions II, and I are independent and distinct inventions. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, each of the groups II and I are drawn to a structural different product, i.e. a single-chain binding polypeptide linked with either a nucleic acid-binding moiety or a lipid-association moiety. The different products are distinct in chemical structure as well as modes of operation when used as a genedelivery compound. The structural distinct compounds belong to different chemical entity, and require different technical considerations.

The differences of the Inventions II and I are further underscored by their divergent classification and independent search criteria.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different search criteria, it would impose an undue burden to the Office if all the groups are examined together, thus, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1632

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The gene-delivery compound of Invention group I comprises different nucleic-acid binding moieties, such as recited in claims 7 and 8, if invention I is elected, further election of a species is necessary. The gene-delivery compound of Invention group II comprises different lipid-association moieties, such as recited in claims 36-39, if invention group II is elected, further election of a species is necessary. The gene-delivery compounds of both groups I and II further comprise different combinations of effector segments having different functions, such as segments facilitate endosomal escape, non-endosomal transport, and nucleus entry of a target cell. If one of the inventions is elected, further election of a species drawn to one specific structural combination of the effector fragments is necessary.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-52 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1632

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that where a single claim encompasses more than one invention as defined above, upon election of an invention for examination, said claim would only be examined to the extent that it reads upon the elected invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Q. Janice Li whose telephone number is 703-308-7942. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah J. Reynolds can be reached on 703-305-4051. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Dianiece Jacobs, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Q. Janice Li Examiner Art Unit 1632

QJL September 4, 2002

> ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                           |                |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Notice to Comply   | 09/888,721  | Huston et al                           |                |  |  |  |  |
| Notice to Comply   | Examiner  | Art Unit                               |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | Q. Janice Li  | 1632                                   |                |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQ  | UENCE AND/OR AMINO A  | ACID SEQUEN                            | ICE            |  |  |  |  |
| DISCLOSURES  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant must file the items indicated below is attached to avoid abandonment under 3 provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a)).   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence the requirements for such a disclosure as  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 1. This application clearly fails to compattention is directed to the final rulema OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June).  | king notice published at 55 FR 18<br>filing date is on or after July 1, 1 | 8230 (May 1, 199<br>998, see the final | 0), and 1114   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ 3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in content of the computer readable form 1.823, as indicated on the attached co</li> </ul>  | does not comply with the require  | ements of 37 C.F.                      |                |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The computer readable form that had and/or unreadable as indicated on the readable form must be submitted as re   | attached CRF Diskette Problem   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ 6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Other: See explanation in the Office  | action.   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant Must Provide:  ☑ A substitute computer readable form (0  | CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listi  | ng".                                   |                |  |  |  |  |
| A substitute paper copy of the "Sequer specification.  | nce Listing", as well as an amend   | ment directing its                     | entry into the |  |  |  |  |
| A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d). |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| For questions regarding compliance   | e to these requirements, ple  | ease contact:                          |                |  |  |  |  |
| For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| For CRF Submission Help, call (703)<br>Patentin Software Program Support   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |

To Purchase Patentin Software.....703-306-2600 PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR REPLY

entIn Software Program Support
Technical Assistance......703-287-0200